

## GOV. MSG. NO. 1333

### EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS HONOLULU

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR

July 13, 2015

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi,
President
and Members of the Senate
Twenty-Eighth State Legislature
State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

The Honorable Joseph M. Souki, Speaker and Members of the House Twenty-Eighth State Legislature State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Souki, and Members of the Legislature:

I am transmitting herewith SB569 SD1 HD1 CD1, without my approval, and with the statement of objections relating to the measure.

SB569 SD1 HD1 CD1

**RELATING TO THEFT** 

Sincerely,

DAVID Y. GE

Governor, State of Hawaii

# EXECUTIVE CHAMBERS HONOLULU July 13, 2015

#### STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS TO SENATE BILL NO. 569

Honorable Members Twenty-Eighth Legislature State of Hawaii

Pursuant to Section 16 of Article III of the Constitution of the State of Hawaii, I am returning herewith, without my approval, Senate Bill No. 569, entitled "A Bill for an Act Relating to Theft."

The purpose of this bill is to increase the monetary threshold from \$300 to \$750 with respect to property or services for the offense of theft in the second degree.

This bill is objectionable because it would diminish the seriousness of many theft crimes and reduce the deterrent impact of theft offenses. The Department of the Prosecuting Attorney of the City and County of Honolulu, the Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii, and the Hawaii Food Industry Association oppose this bill, noting that increasing the threshold will cause an increase in theft. Loss prevention experts report that professional shoplifters calculate the value of the merchandise they are stealing to keep it under the threshold amount to avoid serious penalties and prosecution as a felony. In these cases, the retailer is never compensated and has no hope of recovery. This will harm families and businesses, and incentivize theft.

For the foregoing reasons, I am returning Senate Bill No. 569 without my approval.

Respectfully,

Governor of Hawaii

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO THEFT.

#### BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:

1	SECT	ION 1. Section 708-831, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is
2	amended b	y amending subsection (1) to read as follows:
3 -	"(1)	A person commits the offense of theft in the second
4	degree if	the person commits theft:
5	(a)	Of property from the person of another;
6	(b)	Of property or services the value of which exceeds
7		[ <del>\$300;</del> ] <u>\$750;</u>
8	(c)	Of an aquacultural product or part thereof from
9		premises that are fenced or enclosed in a manner
10		designed to exclude intruders or there is prominently
11		displayed on the premises a sign or signs sufficient
12		to give notice and reading as follows: "Private
13		Property", "No Trespassing", or a substantially
14		similar message;
1,5	. (d)	Of agricultural equipment, supplies, or products, or
16		part thereof, the value of which exceeds \$100 but does
17		not exceed \$20,000, or of agricultural products that
18		exceed twenty-five pounds, from premises that are

· 17

18

19

20

21

## S.B. NO.

1		fenced, enclosed, or secured in a manner designed to
2		exclude intruders or there is prominently displayed or
3		the premises a sign or signs sufficient to give notice
4		and reading as follows: "Private Property", "No
5		Trespassing", or a substantially similar message; or
6		if at the point of entry of the premise, a crop is
7		visible. The sign or signs, containing letters not
8		less than two inches in height, shall be placed along
9		the boundary line of the land in a manner and in such
10		position as to be clearly noticeable from outside the
11		boundary line. Possession of agricultural products
12		without ownership and movement certificates, when a
13		certificate is required pursuant to chapter 145, is
14		prima facie evidence that the products are or have
15		been stolen; or
16	(e)	Of agricultural commodities that are generally known
1 <i>7</i>		to be marketed for commercial nurnosos Possossion of

movement certificates, when a certificate is required pursuant to section 145-22, is prima facie evidence that the products are or have been stolen; provided

agricultural commodities without ownership and

#### S.B. NO. 569 S.D. 1 H.D. 1 C.D. 1

1	that "agriculture commodities" has the same meaning as
2	in section 145-21."
3	SECTION 2. This Act does not affect rights and duties that
4	matured, penalties that were incurred, and proceedings that were
5	begun before its effective date.
6	SECTION 3. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed
7	and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.
8	SECTION 4. This Act shall take effect upon its approval.
9	
	APPROVED this day of , 2015

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF HAWAII